

# Half a Year in Macro Paradise

Eugene Burmako

École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne  
<http://scalamacros.org/>

12 June 2013

## What this talk covers

- ▶ New developments in macros after 2.10.0
- ▶ Reflection on our experience with macros
- ▶ The future of macros in Scala 2.10+

## What this talk doesn't cover

- ▶ New developments powered by macros
  - ▶ Pickles and spores (Heather's talk [today at 13:30](#))
  - ▶ scala-async (Philipp's and Jason's talk [today at 14:30](#))
  - ▶ shapeless (Miles' talk [today at 14:30](#))
  - ▶ scala-workflow (Evgeny's project [at GitHub](#))
  - ▶ Akka typed channels (the video of Roland presenting [at NEScala](#))
  - ▶ Yin-Yang (Vojin's paper [at infoscience.epfl.ch](#))
  - ▶ Specialization 2.0 (Nicolas' and Vlad's project [at GitHub](#))
  - ▶ Type-safe JSON (Greg's talk [at Geecon](#))
  - ▶ Improvements for the cake pattern (John Sullivan's talk [today at 11:15](#))
  - ▶ Parallel collections 2.0 (coming [coming this summer](#))

## What this talk doesn't cover

- ▶ New developments powered by macros (see the previous slide)
- ▶ Best practices ([my upcoming talk at Scalapeño](#))
- ▶ Design details ([my upcoming talk at Strange Loop](#))

## Macros in Scala 2.10

# Macros

- ▶ New experimental feature in Scala 2.10.0
- ▶ Macros are functions written in Scala against reflection API
- ▶ They are invoked by the compiler during compilation
- ▶ A lot of cool things can be done with a compiler API, so there are multiple macro flavors

## Def macros

- ▶ The only macro flavor in Scala 2.10.0
- ▶ Calls to def macros expand into programmatically generated code
- ▶ <http://docs.scala-lang.org/overviews/macros/overview.html>

## Example

```
log(Error, "does not compute")
```



```
if (Config.loggingEnabled)  
    Config.logger.log(Error, "does not compute")
```

- ▶ We will now write a macro that automates logging
- ▶ Without macros this is impossible to achieve at zero performance cost



## Example

```
def log(severity: Severity, msg: String): Unit = ...
```

- ▶ Macro signatures look like signatures of normal methods

## Example

```
def log(severity: Severity, msg: String): Unit = macro impl

def impl(c: Context)
  (severity: c.Expr[Severity],
   msg: c.Expr[String]): c.Expr[Unit] = ...
```

- ▶ Macro signatures look like signatures of normal methods
- ▶ Macro bodies are just stubs, implementations are defined outside

## Example

```
def log(severity: Severity, msg: String): Unit = macro impl

def impl(c: Context)
  (severity: c.Expr[Severity],
   msg: c.Expr[String]): c.Expr[Unit] = {
import c.universe._
reify {
  if (Config.loggingEnabled)
    Config.logger.log(severity.splice, msg.splice)
}
}
```

- ▶ Macro signatures look like signatures of normal methods
- ▶ Macro bodies are just stubs, implementations are defined outside
- ▶ Implementations use reflection API to analyze and generate code

# What are macros good for?

- ▶ Code generation
- ▶ Language virtualization
- ▶ Type computations
- ▶ Compile-time checks

# Macros vs textual code generation

## Highlights:

- ▶ Structured (macros work with ASTs)
- ▶ Type-aware (macros integrate with the typechecker)
- ▶ Reflective (macros can reflect against the program being compiled)

# Macros vs textual code generation

## Highlights:

- ▶ Structured (macros work with ASTs)
- ▶ Type-aware (macros integrate with the typechecker)
- ▶ Reflective (macros can reflect against the program being compiled)

## Limitations:

- ▶ Only hardcore (macros 1.0 are really cumbersome)
- ▶ Only expressions (macros 1.0 only include def macros)
- ▶ Only local (macros 1.0 cannot make global changes to the program)
- ▶ Only transient (macros 1.0 cannot generate code for humans)

## Why am I highlighting the “1.0” part?

- ▶ Because macros are rapidly evolving
- ▶ In part thanks to external contributors like you!
- ▶ A lot of cool things have been implemented after the 2.10.0 release
- ▶ Which makes a lot of problems and restrictions go away
- ▶ How? Now we're going to find out!

## Macros in paradise



## Macro paradise

- ▶ An experimental fork of `scalac`, available for 2.10.x and 2.11.0:  
<http://docs.scala-lang.org/overviews/macros/paradise.html>
- ▶ Compatible with the latest releases, i.e. with 2.10.2 and 2.11.0-M3 (this means you can use the libraries published for those releases!)
- ▶ Nightlies are published to Sonatype and are easily accessible in SBT:

```
scalaVersion := "2.11.0-SNAPSHOT" or "2.10.2-SNAPSHOT"  
scalaOrganization := "org.scala-lang.macro-paradise"  
resolvers += Resolver.sonatypeRepo("snapshots")
```

# Cool new features

- ▶ Quasiquotes (Denys Shabalin)
- ▶ Implicit macros
- ▶ Type macros
- ▶ Macro annotations
- ▶ Untyped macros
- ▶ JIT compilation (Oleg Biruk)
- ▶ Relaxed macros

## Quasiquotes

```
// tree manipulation 1.0  
reify(List[T](element.splice))
```



```
// tree manipulation 2.0  
q"List[$T]($element)"
```

## Untyped snippets

```
val fieldMemberType: Type = ...
reify {
  new TypeBuilder {
    type FieldType = fieldMemberType.splice // error!
  }
}
```



```
q"new TypeBuilder { type FieldType = $fieldMemberType }"
```

- ▶ Unlike reify, quasiquotes don't require their snippets to be typed
- ▶ From experience, this is a vital feature for a metaprogramming system

## Better splicing

```
def foo(xs: Any*) = ...  
val args: List[Expr[Any]] = ...  
reify { foo(args.splice) } // error!
```



```
def foo(xs: Any*) = ...  
q"foo(..$args)"
```

- ▶ reify supports splicing single strongly-typed trees and types
- ▶ Quasiquotes allow splicing virtually anything anywhere it makes sense

## Pattern matching

```
expr match {  
  case reify(foo.splice(x.splice)) => x // error!  
}
```



```
expr match {  
  case q"$foo($x)" => x  
}
```

- ▶ Being strongly-typed, `reify` is hard to marry with destructuring
- ▶ Quasiquotes can pattern match in arbitrary positions in snippets

## Implicit macros

```
trait Reads[T] {  
  def reads(json: JsValue): JsResult[T]  
}
```

```
object Json {  
  def fromJson[T](json: JsValue)  
    (implicit fjs: Reads[T]): JsResult[T]  
}
```

- ▶ Type classes are an idiomatic way of writing extensible code in Scala
- ▶ This is an example of typeclass-based design in Play

## Implicit macros

```
def fromJson[T](json: JsValue)
  (implicit fjs: Reads[T]): JsResult[T]

implicit val IntReads = new Reads[Int] {
  def reads(json: JsValue): JsResult[T] = ...
}

fromJson[Int](json) // you write
fromJson[Int](json)(IntReads) // you get
```

- ▶ With type classes we externalize the moving parts
- ▶ And then specify them elsewhere
- ▶ Instances of type classes are provided once
- ▶ And then `scalac` fills them in automatically



## Before macros

```
case class Person(name: String, age: Int)

implicit val personReads = (
  (__ \ 'name).reads[String] and
  (__ \ 'age).reads[Int]
)(Person)
```

- ▶ Everything is done manually, hence boilerplate
- ▶ There are alternatives, but they have downsides

## Vanilla macros (2.10.0)

```
implicit val personReads = Json.reads[Person]
```

- ▶ Boilerplate can be generated by a macro
- ▶ The code ends up being the same as if it were written manually

## Implicit macros (2.10.2+)

```
// no code necessary
```

- ▶ Implicit values can be synthesized on-the-fly by a macro
- ▶ Used with great success in scala-pickling
- ▶ More information in [my tomorrow's talk in San Francisco](#)

## Type macros

```
val brazilian = Db.Coffees.insert("Brazilian", 99, 0)
Db.Coffees.update(brazilian.copy(price = 10))
println(Db.Coffees.all)
```

- ▶ Term macros can generate terms, type macros generate types
- ▶ Imagine we need to create a strongly-typed wrapper for a database
- ▶ Type macros are a great solution for that!

## Type macros

```
object Db extends H2Db("Coffees")
```

- ▶ The H2Db macro takes a connection string
- ▶ ...

## Type macros

```
object Db extends H2Db("Coffees")

trait H2Db_Coffees {
  class Coffee { ... }
  val Coffees: Table[Coffee] = ...
}

object Db extends H2Db_Coffees
```

- ▶ The H2Db macro takes a connection string
- ▶ Then connects to the database and generates the wrapper
- ▶ Similar to type providers in F#

## Type macros

```
type H2Db(url: String) = macro impl
```

- ▶ Definition and usage of type macros are the same as for def macros
- ▶ We start with a macro def and write its signature

## Type macros

```
type H2Db(url: String) = macro impl

def impl(c: Context)(url: c.Tree) = {
  val wrapper = q"trait Wrapper { ${generateCode(url)} }"
  ...
}
```

- ▶ Now we proceed with the implementation
- ▶ The implementation creates a trait that encapsulates a database



## Type macros

```
type H2Db(url: String) = macro impl

def impl(c: Context)(url: c.Tree) = {
  val wrapper = q"trait Wrapper { ${generateCode(url)} }"
  val wrapperRef = c.introduceTopLevel(wrappersPkg, wrapper)
  ...
}
```

- ▶ The implementation creates a trait that encapsulates a database
- ▶ And then makes the newly created trait visible to the entire program

## Type macros

```
type H2Db(url: String) = macro impl

def impl(c: Context)(url: c.Tree) = {
  val wrapper = q"trait Wrapper { ${generateCode(url)} }"
  val wrapperRef = c.introduceTopLevel(wrappersPkg, wrapper)
  q"$wrapperRef($url)"
}
```

- ▶ The implementation creates a trait that encapsulates a database
- ▶ And then makes the newly created trait visible to the entire program
- ▶ Afterwards it expands into a reference to the wrapper

# Summary

- ▶ Macro paradise hosts a lot of cool new features
- ▶ Immediately available from Sonatype
- ▶ Macro paradise is not a thing in itself, it targets upstream Scala
- ▶ The most successful paradise features have already made it into Scala
- ▶ Which ones? We'll see in a few minutes!

## The future of macros

## Macros 1.0 are great

- ▶ Things that were previously impossible are now within reach
  - ▶ People are using macros to bring their ideas to life
  - ▶ Typesafe employs macros in a number of projects
  - ▶ At LAMP we are using macros to power our research

# Macros 1.0 are complicated

- ▶ Annoying
  - ▶ Hard to grasp
  - ▶ Hard to use
- ▶ Volatile
  - ▶ A lot of freedom type-wise
  - ▶ A lot of freedom execution-wise

# The macro conundrum

- ▶ Macros 1.0 are annoying
- ▶ Macros 1.0 are volatile
- ▶ But we still want macros, because they are so great!

# Macros 2.0



# Macros 2.0

- ▶ Simplify
  - ▶ Quasiquotes!
  - ▶ The rest of reflection API
  - ▶ Better IDE support (debugging, inline expansion, IntelliJ)

# Macros 2.0

- ▶ Simplify
  - ▶ Quasiquotes!
  - ▶ The rest of reflection API
  - ▶ Better IDE support (debugging, inline expansion, IntelliJ)
  
- ▶ Stratify
  - ▶ Codify the conservative ones (stable subset)
  - ▶ Let the powerful ones evolve (experimental subset)

## How does one stratify macros?

- ▶ By answering a simple question
  - ▶ Do we have to expand this macro to typecheck the program?
- ▶ This is quite equivalent to the questions
  - ▶ Does a human have to expand this macro to understand the program?
  - ▶ Does an IDE have to expand this macro to analyze the program?
  - ▶ Does this macro really taste like a method?

# Blackbox macros

- ▶ The conservative ones
- ▶ Don't affect typechecking
- ▶ One can say they are opaque to the typer, hence the name
- ▶ `BlackboxContext` = `quasiquotes` + just a bit more

## Whitebox macros

- ▶ The powerful ones
- ▶ For them everything stays as it is now and will continue evolving
- ▶ `WhiteboxContext` = `Context` of macros 1.0 + later developments

## Summary

- ▶ Our primary goal for now is to make macros easy to use
- ▶ Then we plan to bring blackbox macros into the language
- ▶ Are blackbox macros good enough? Time will tell
- ▶ In the meanwhile we will still be experimenting with whitebox macros

## The roadmap for macros in Scala 2.10+

## 2.10.x

### Experimental:

- ▶ Reflection (2.10.0+, not going anywhere)
- ▶ Macros 1.0 (2.10.0+, not going anywhere)
- ▶ Implicit macros (2.10.2+, single-parametric type classes only)
- ▶ Quasiquotes (2.10.0+, [quasi-supported](#) via paradise 2.10.x)



## 2.11.0

Experimental (looking good for becoming stable in 2.12):

- ▶ Blackbox macros
- ▶ Quasiquotes
- ▶ Macro bundles

Experimental (needing more time for evaluation):

- ▶ Reflection
- ▶ Whitebox macros
- ▶ Implicit macros (single-parametric type classes only)
- ▶ `asInstanceOf[scala.reflect.internal.SymbolTable]`

## Paradise

Look good for promotion to 2.11.0, but need time that we might not have before the release:

- ▶ Implicit macros (multi-parametric type classes)
- ▶ Macro annotations

Won't be promoted to 2.11.0, ordered by descending likelihood of making it into any Scala at all:

- ▶ `introduceTopLevel`
- ▶ Untyped macros
- ▶ Type macros

## Summary

- ▶ Macros are here to stay
- ▶ Blackbox macros are going to be stabilized in 2.12
- ▶ But whitebox macros will still stick around as experimental
- ▶ So your macros will continue working in 2.11 and probably in 2.12
- ▶ Type macros didn't make it, macro annotations will take their place

Wrapping up

## Summary

- ▶ Macros 1.0 are popular among production and research users of Scala
- ▶ We created a fork of `scalac` called `macro paradise`
- ▶ In `paradise` we have been experimenting with our design
- ▶ And we came up with a bunch of improvements for macros 1.0
- ▶ This will make macros easy to use and accessible for everyone

## Or in other words

- ▶ Macros were created by man
- ▶ They rebelled
- ▶ They evolved
- ▶ There are many flavors
- ▶ And they have a plan